Appl. No. 09/964,509 Amdt. Dated: June 1, 2004

Reply to Office Action of: 12/03/2003

## REMARKS

The Examiner has indicated the allowability of a number of claims as set out in section 7 of the Office Action provided they are written in independent form incorporating the limitations of any claim upon which they depend.

In response to the Action, the Applicants have rewritten claim 1 to incorporate the subject of claims 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 indicated by the Examiner as allowable. Claim 1 as amended therefore incorporates each of the limitations found in claims 2 through 6 and accordingly is believed to be in condition for allowance.

Claims 7, 8, and 9 have been made dependent upon claim 1 and the balance of the remaining method claims depend either directly or indirectly upon claim 1.

Similarly with respect to the apparatus claims, the Examiner has indicated claim 42 would be allowable and accordingly claim 41 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 42. The balance of the apparatus claims depend either directly or indirectly upon claim 41 and as such are also believed to be in condition for allowance.

A minor typographical amendment has been made to claim 55 which was directed to a method although dependent upon claim 41 which is directed to a component.

Appl. No. 09/964,509 Amdt. Dated: June 1, 2004 Reply to Office Action of: 12/03/2003

The Applicant reserves the right to prosecute the cancelled claims in a continuing application that may be filed during the pendancy of the present application. Further consideration of action to allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

John R.S. Orange Agent for Applicant Registration No. 29,725

Date: May 31, 2004

McCarthy Tetrault LLP
P.O. Box 48, Suite 4700, Toronto Dominion Bank Tower
66 Wellington St. West
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1E6, Canada

Tel: (416) 362-1812

JRO/jsm